

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85267 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-212 Year-2025 Thana- AURANGABAD TOWN District-
Aurangabad

Niraj Singh @ Niraj Kumar Son of Sanjay Singh R/o Mohalla - Satyendra
Nagar Ward No. 6, P.S. - Aurangabad Town, District - Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Mithilesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ram Bilash Roy Raman, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Shailesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 09-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State as also learned counsel for the informant. Perused
the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Aurangabad (Town) P.S. Case No. 212 of 2025 instituted for the
offence under Sections 103(1), 80 & 3(5) of the Bharatiya
Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Prosecution case in short is that daughter of the
informant has been done to death at her matrimonial house by
her in-laws due to non-fulfillment of the demand of dowry.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner
that the petitioner is in custody since 23.04.2025. Petitioner



bears no criminal antecedent, as per disclosure made in paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Petitioner is the husband of the deceased. There is no specific allegation attributed to the petitioner, rather allegation is general and omnibus in nature. Learned counsel next submits that cause of death is not ascertained as viscera report is preserved. Other co-accused has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 09.09.2025, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 40420 of 2025.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. It is fervently submitted that petitioner, being the husband of the deceased, bears the onus of explaining the circumstances leading to her death. Learned counsel for the informant submits that charge in this case is framed and one witness is also examined.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the fact that petitioner, being the husband of the deceased, bears the onus of explaining the circumstances leading to her death, this Court is not inclined to



grant bail at this stage. Prayer for bail is hereby *rejected*.

8. The Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial as expeditiously as possible without any undue delay and unnecessary adjournments.

9. However, petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail, if the trial is not concluded within a period of four months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

