

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84900 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-312 Year-2025 Thana- KALYANPUR District- Samastipur

Dilip Paswan S/O Shatrudhan Paswan @ Satrughan Paswan R/O Village -  
Rambhadrapur, P.S - Kalyanpur, District - Samastipur, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vinay Kumar Mishra  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Syed Mojibur Rahman

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      20-01-2026                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case  
registered for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of  
Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner has antecedent of one case under the Excise Act and  
allegation is of recovery of 4 litres of liquor from a motorcycle  
and 58 litres of liquor from house of the petitioner. It is next  
submitted that petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such  
nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and is not  
the owner of the seized vehicle and the house in question is a  
joint family property as such it cannot be alleged with certainty  
that it was petitioner, who had kept the liquor in the house or the



liquor kept in the house was within his knowledge and after amendment in the Excise Act in the year 2018, the concept of deemed possession and presumed offender has been done away with and he came to be implicated based on confessional statement of Shyam Manjhi in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.5000/- (Rupees five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Kalyanpur P.S. Case No.312/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

6. It is made clear that the learned trial court thereafter shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than one case, in that event, it would be presumed that petitioner had



concealed his antecedent before this court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if on verification it is found that petitioner has antecedent of one case, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

