

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19650 of 2025

Shreyas Anima- JV (Joint Venture) through its Authorized Representative Vikash Kumar, aged about 36 years, S/o Yugal Kishor Prasad, residing at 4th Floor, Shailani Complex, Bailey Road, Near HDFC Bank RPS More Danapur, Patna- 801503 comprising Shreyas Infratech Private Limited represented through its Director Vikas Kumar, aged about 36 yeras, S/o Yugal Kishor Prasad, residing at 4th Floor, Shailani Complex, Bailey Road, Near HDFC Bank RPS More, Danapur, Patna- 801503, Bihar and Anima Construction Private Limited represented through its Director Manoj Kumar, aged about 51 years, S/o Ram Prit Singh, residing at Road No.- 4A, Magistrate Colony, P.S.- Rajeev Nagar, District- Patna, Pin- 800025, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Secretary, Minor Irrigation Department, Vikash Bhawan, Patna- 800001.
2. Secretary, Minor Irrigation Department, Vikash Bhawan, Patna- 800001.
3. Engineer-in-Chief, Minor Irrigation Department, Jal Bhawan, Airport Road, Sheikhpura, Patna- 800001.
4. Executive Engineer, Minor Irrigation Division, Jamui, Bihar- 811307.
5. Chief Engineer, Minor Irrigation Department, Bhagalpur, Bihar- 812001.
6. The Departmental Tender Committee, headed by the Secretary, Minor Irrigation Department, Vikash Bhawan, Patna- 800001.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Ranjan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Amish Kumar, AC to AG

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH)

4 23-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

2. Following reliefs are sought for in the present
application:

“(i) For issuance of appropriate writ order



or direction in nature of certiorari to quash the communication as contained in Memo No. 1338/PATNA dated 17.10.2025 whereby the Tender Committee headed by Respondent No. 2 has rejected the representation submitted by the Petitioner against the decision declaring his tender document by the Departmental Tender Committee as non-responsive at the stage of technical bid, and the order of rejection has been passed without assigning any reason whatsoever with reference to any material, therefore the impugned action is wholly illegal, arbitrary, and unsustainable in the eye of law.

(ii) For issuance of appropriate writ order or direction in nature of certiorari to quash the decision of Tender Committee dated 08.09.2025 as communicated vide letter No. 3274 dated 08.09.2025 whereby the technical bid of the Petitioner has been held to be non-responsive on the ground that the affidavit filed was not sworn before the Executive Magistrate, secondly the partner of the Joint Venture did not possess past experience of similar nature of work to the extent of 25 percent of the estimated value of contract in rupees and lastly the protection work did not meet the combined quantity as per the requirement which



decision of the Tender Committee is arbitrary, illegal and unsustainable.

(iii) For a writ of mandamus that on setting aside the decision of the Departmental Tender Committee, the financial bid of the petitioner may be directed to be opened.

(iv) For any other relief / reliefs to which the petitioner is found entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. Learned counsel for the State submits that the LOA has already been issued to one Nitesh Kumar Singh vide Annexure-R6/A dated 03.12.2025.

4. Considering the fact that the LOA has already been issued and the present matter survives only as an academic issue, we find no reason to pass any further order in the present writ application and is accordingly dismissed as having become infructuous.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

