

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85318 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-60 Year-2002 Thana- IMAMGANJ District- Gaya

Saryug Chaudhary @ Sarju Choudhary @ Saryug Son of Late Tulsi
Choudhary R/o - Village Pakardih, P.S. - Imamganj, District - Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shailesh Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Arun Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 19-01-2026 Heard Mr. Shailesh Kumar, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Arun Kumar Pandey, learned APP for the
State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
10.10.2025, in connection with Imamganj P.S. Case No. 60 of
2002, F.I.R. dated 03.09.2002 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 323, 325, 307 of the Indian Penal
Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has been granted bail in the present case on
04.12.2002 by the learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate,
Sherghati and thereafter the petitioner was regularly appeared in
the trial Court till 06.11.2019 and thereafter the petitioner had
not appeared before the learned trial Court and hence the
learned Trial Court has cancelled his bail bond vide order dated



03.01.2020. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has no knowledge about the cancellation of his bail bond so he has not come before the learned Trial court and he was in impression that his Advocate is pursuing the matter before the learned Trial court. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that when he came to know about the cancellation of his bail bond he has surrendered on 10.10.2025.

4. Vide order dated 10.12.2025, a report was called for with regard the stage of the trial. Report of the learned Trial Court dated 22.12.2025 reveals that there are total 10 chargesheeted witnesses in the present case and no any prosecution witness has been examined by the prosecution.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries one more case other than the present one but fairly submits that petitioner is on bail in the pending matter.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned District & Additional Sessions Judge 1st, Sherghati,



Gaya in connection with Imamganj P.S. Case No. 60 of 2002,
subject to the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

