

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.86639 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-48 Year-2024 Thana- SHIVSAGAR District- Rohtas

Rinku Kumar Son of Shiv Murat Singh Resident of Panchpokhari P.S- Kudra,
Dist- Kaimur at Bhabua

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Sadanand Roy, Advocate
For the Informant	:	Ms. Abhilasha Kumari, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Sanjay Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 30-03-2026 Heard Mr.Sadanand Roy, learned counsel for the petitioner, Ms. Abhilasha Kumari, learned counsel for the informant and Mr.Sanjay Kumar Pandey, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since 20.08.2025 in connection with Shiv Sagar P.S. Case No. 48 of 2024, F.I.R. dated 01.02.2024 registered for the offence punishable under Section 328/302 of IPC.

3. As per FIR, allegation against the petitioner is that he killed the deceased Janki Kumari by way of administering the poison to her.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent. The allegation as alleged in the



FIR is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR and the informant, merely on the basis of suspicion, has made the petitioner as accused in the present occurrence.

5. Learned counsel for the informant and learned A.P.P. for the State have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that it has come during investigation in paragraph-7 and 15 of the case diary that the petitioner has given poison to the deceased and apart from the aforesaid, the postmortem report as well as the FSL report also confirms the same.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts as well as the report of the learned Trial Court, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Shiv Sagar P.S. Case No. 48 of 2024, pending in the court of learned CJM, Sasaram at Rohtas.

7. Prayer is refused.

8. However, the learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

