

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.86177 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-389 Year-2025 Thana- BAHADURPUR District- Darbhanga

1. Mahmud Alam @ Md. Alam S/o-Hasim Residents of Village- Dilawarpur, Post- Milkichak, P.S. Bahadurpur, District- Darbhanga 846009.
2. Md. Sitare S/o- Mahmud Alam Village- Dilawarpur, Post- Milkichak, P.S. Bahadurpur, District- Darbhanga 846009.
3. Mohammad Imran S/o- Mahmud Alam Village- Dilawarpur, Post- Milkichak, P.S. Bahadurpur, District- Darbhanga 846009.
4. Md. Ehsan S/o- Mahmud Alam Village- Dilawarpur, Post- Milkichak, P.S. Bahadurpur, District- Darbhanga 846009.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kanchan Jha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Binod Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 19-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(5), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 329(4), 303(2), 351(2), 352 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that accused persons including the petitioners came and on orders of Md. Alam, accused Md. Sitare assaulted the



informant by farsa causing injury on head, thereafter, Md. Alam assaulted his brother Md. Fakre by gandasa causing injury on head while Md. Imran assaulted Safi by rod causing injury on head and Md. Ehsan snatched chain of his wife.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that petitioner no. 1 is own brother of the informant, while petitioner nos. 2, 3 and 4 are sons of petitioner no. 1. It is also submitted that dispute relating to property in between the brothers is brewing, as such an altercation took place in which both sides assaulted each other. It is further submitted that no doubt it is alleged that side of the petitioners assaulted the informant and Md. Fakre, but then the blow is not alleged to be repeated and the injury suffered by the injured has been opined to be simple in nature.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners, but then fairly submits after perusing the case diary that the injury suffered by the injured has been opined to be simple.

6. At this stage, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that petitioners are not criminals and they will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation



to prove their innocence.

7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Bahadurpur P.S. Case No. 389 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

