

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85630 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-100 Year-2025 Thana- PURAINI District- Madhepura

1. Ranjo Devi W/O Ram Pravesh Mandal R/O Vill.- Durgapur, Ward no. 4, P.S.- Puraini, Dist.- Madhepura
2. Sanni Deval @ Sunil Kumar S/O Ram Pravesh Mandal R/O Vill.- Durgapur, Ward no. 4, P.S.- Puraini, Dist.- Madhepura
3. Deependra Mandal @ Bipendra Kumar S/O Ram Pravesh Mandal R/O Vill.- Durgapur Ward no. 4, P.S.- Puraini, Dist.- Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mrs. Pooja Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 15-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. Petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Puraini P.S. Case No. 100 of 2025 registered for the offences under Sections 80, 3(5) of B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant has alleged that his sister was married to one Mukesh Mandal and that she was mentally and physically tortured for demand of dowry. It is further alleged that the informant later received a phone call from the villagers informing him that his sister had died.



4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submit that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present case and that they have no concern whatsoever with the internal family affairs of the accused Mukesh Mandal. It has further been submitted that petitioner no. 1 happens to be the cousin sister-in-law of the deceased and petitioner nos. 2 and 3 are the cousin brothers-in-law of the deceased. It has also been submitted that the husband of the deceased was residing separately and that these petitioners had no role in or connection with the matrimonial life of the deceased. It has further been submitted that the husband of the deceased is already in custody and that during the course of investigation, no specific overt act has been attributed to the petitioners. It has lastly been submitted that the petitioners carry clean antecedents.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid submission, facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioners above named be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/-(ten



thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Puraini P.S. Case No. 100 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure read with corresponding Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. as well as subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioners shall be their close relative.
- (ii) The petitioners shall remain physically present in Court on each date of the trial.
- (iii) In case of absence on two consecutive dates, or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioners will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.
- (iv) If the petitioners are found involved in similar nature of offence in future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bond.
- (v) The learned Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedents, the court



below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

7. Accordingly, the present application stands disposed of.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

aditya/-

U			
---	--	--	--

