

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84888 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-211 Year-2025 Thana- Rangara District- Bhagalpur

=====

Vishal Mandal @ Rajiv Mandal Son of Chokhelal Mandal @ Chokhe Mandal
Resident of village - Masudanpur Baisi, Police Station - Rangra, District –
Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Saurabh Raj, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

4 31-01-2026 Heard Mr. Saurabh Raj, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, learned APP for the

State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Rangra P.S. Case No. 211 of 2025 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 137(2), 140(3) of the B.N.S.,
2023.

3. The case of the prosecution in short, is that, the
petitioner along with others, kidnapped the minor daughter of
the informant.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has
committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this



case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, during the course of investigation, the victim gave her statements recorded under Sections 180 and 183 of the BNSS. In her statement recorded under Section 183, she stated that she went to Naugachia Station and called the petitioner there. She had known the petitioner for the last five years and that they went to Mansi by train, where they solemnized their marriage. In her statement recorded under Section 180, she stated the same facts. Learned counsel further submits that, from a perusal of the victim's statements recorded under Sections 180 and 183 of the BNSS, it is clear that this is a case of elopement followed by marriage. He further submits that a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 07.09.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned



A.C.J.M., 1st Class, Naugachia in connection with Rangra P.S.
Case No. 211 of 2025.

Sudhanshu/- **(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)**

U		T	
---	--	---	--

