

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.661 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-75 Year-2025 Thana- AKBARNAGAR District- Bhagalpur

Md. Firoj Alam @ Md Firoz @ Firoj Alam, S/o Late Nisar @ Late Md. Nisar
R/o Village - Simraha, P.O and P.S - Akbarnagar, District – Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ravindra Kumar Sinha, Advocate

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ravindra Kumar Sharma, P
For the State : Mr. Rana Randhir Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 28-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, apprehending his arrest, in connection with Akbarnagar P.S. Case No.75 of 2025, dated.21.06.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 118(1), 110, 329(3), 329(4), 303(2), 351(2), 352, 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per allegation, the Petitioner along with the other co-accused armed with chhura and iron rod entered the house of the informant and assaulted the son of the informant causing fracture in his head and when the victim cried for help, the co-accused, Kalu pressed his neck and snatched his golden chain

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



Petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the allegation against the accused persons are general and omnibus in nature, except the specific allegation against the co-accused, Kalu that he pressed the neck and stole the golden chain of the victim. He also submits that Kalu and other co-accused have already been enlarged on anticipatory bail by the court below itself and the case of the Petitioner is on better footing than that of Kalu.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one in the instant case.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents.

7. However, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the Petitioner for bail.

8. Considering the fact that there is no specific allegation against the Petitioner and other co-accused, including Kalu have already been enlarged on bail, **this petition is allowed**, directing the petitioner, above-named, to be enlarged on bail following the principle of parity, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this



order, on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned concerned Court below, in connection with Akbarnagar P.S. Case No.75 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S 2023, and on the following conditions:

(i) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has any criminal antecedents, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents despite his knowledge of the same.

(ii) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Jitendra Kumar, J.)

Chandan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

