

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84818 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-74 Year-2025 Thana- RISIYAP District- Aurangabad

Chandan Rajak Son of Gupta Rajak R/o Village - Mangurahi, P.S. - Risiup,
District - Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rakesh Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ramchandra Sahni, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 09-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Risiup
P.S. Case No. 74 of 2025 instituted for the offence under
Sections 80 & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Prosecution case in short is that daughter of the
informant has been done to death at her matrimonial house by
her in-laws due to non-fulfillment of the demand of dowry.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner
that the petitioner is in custody since 08.07.2025. Petitioner
bears no criminal antecedent, as per disclosure made in



paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Petitioner is the husband of the deceased. There is no specific allegation attributed to the petitioner, rather allegation is general and omnibus in nature. Postmortem report suggests the cause of death due is kept reserved pending till FSL.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. It is fervently submitted that petitioner, being the husband of the deceased, bears the onus of explaining the circumstances leading to her death.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the fact that petitioner, being the husband of the deceased, bears the onus of explaining the circumstances leading to her death, this Court is not inclined to grant bail at this stage. Prayer for bail is hereby *rejected*.

8. The Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial as expeditiously as possible without any undue delay and unnecessary adjournments.

9. However, petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail, if the trial is not concluded within a period of six



months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this
order.

Raj Kishore/-

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

