

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85534 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-88 Year-2025 Thana- TARAIIYA District- Saran

Vikash Kumar S/o- Bharat Chauhan Vill- Sahnawajpur, PO- Dubauli P.S-
Panapur, Dist- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jeetendra Narayan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pranav Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 25-03-2026 Heard Mr. Jeetendra Narayan, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr. Pranav Kumar, learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
17.07.2025 in connection with Taraiya P.S. Case No. 88 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 03.04.2025 for the offences punishable under
Section 309(4) of the BNS, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, while the informant
was going to his home then four miscreants armed with
weapons intercepted him and seized his motorcycle and mobile
phone and fled away.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the
present case. The petitioner is not named in the FIR and his



name has been transpired during investigation on the basis of the self confessional statement of the petitioner which was recorded in Taraiya P.S. Case No. 89 of 2025. Thereafter, the looted article was recovered from the house of the co-accused person but till date no TIP has been conducted by the prosecution. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 17.07.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that on the basis of the self confessional statement of the petitioner the looted article was recovered from the possession of the co-accused person. Apart from that the petitioner carries three criminal antecedents other than the present one but fairly submits that he is on bail in two cases and one case is pending for consideration.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-XIII, Saran at Chapra in connection with Taraiya P.S. Case No. 88 of 2025, subject to the following



conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

