

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.86094 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-302 Year-2025 Thana- NARPATGANJ District- Araria

Manish Kumar Yadav @ Manish Kumar S/o Late Gautam Yadav R/o Village -  
Kannaili, Ward No. 05, P.S - Narpatganj, District - Araria, Pin - 854318

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Nishant Kumar Sinha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Jagdhari Prasad

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      24-03-2026                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his  
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under  
Sections 137(2), 96, 303(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the  
informant alleges that accused persons including the petitioner  
came to his house on 13.08.2025 at 12.30 A.M. and locked his  
room and petitioner took Rs.1.50 Lac along with ornaments and  
also took minor daughter aged 12 years and when his father  
confronted, he was threatened.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the



informant. It is next submitted that though in the FIR informant alleges that his daughter was aged about 12 years but no documentary evidence has brought on record to substantiate the same. It is further submitted that doctor has assessed the age of the victim in between 16-17 years. It is further submitted that victim came back and her statement was recorded under Section 183 BNSS wherein she has not supported the case of the prosecution rather has stated that being aggrieved by the conduct of her parents she accompanied the petitioner on her own volition, but then, brother of the petitioner called and informed that a false case has been instituted based on which they came back and has also stated that she has married the petitioner, but then, when she came back her parents washed up the vermilion and no one had kidnapped/ abducted her.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application, but then, is not in a position to rebut the submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that victim has not supported the case of the prosecution after perusing the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 BNSS.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the



event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Narpatganj P. S. Case No.302 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

