

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85566 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-241 Year-2025 Thana- DEEPNAGAR District- Nalanda

Laljit Singh @ Lalji Singh @ Lalji S/o Mr. Ajit Singh Resident of Village-
Mandachh, P.S.- Deepnagar, District- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ajay Kumar Jha, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Raj Kishor Prasad, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 12-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 109(1), 103(1), 118(1) and 352 of the B.N.S. and Sections 25(1-b)a, 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. As per F.I.R., it is alleged that this petitioner shot in the chest of brother of informant with pistol due to which, he died.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is quite innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case with ulterior motive. Moreover, charge-sheet has already been submitted and petitioner, having no criminal



antecedents, is in custody since 10.06.2025.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation that he shot in the chest of brother of informant leading to his death. It is further submitted that during course of investigation, one of the injured, namely Ajit Yadav, has also corroborated the allegations.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specific and direct nature of accusation and gravity of offence, the prayer for grant bail of to the petitioner is rejected.

7. However, considering the fact that petitioner is in custody since 10.06.2025, the learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same, preferably within a period of one year from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

