

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85945 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-110 Year-2025 Thana- MANPUR District- Nalanda

1. Ghanshyam Paswan Son of Ramswarath Paswan @ Ram Sowarat Paswan Resident of Village- Tetrawan, P.S.- Manpur, District- Nalanda
2. Sachidanand Paswan @ Sachitanand S/o Ramswarath Paswan @ Ram Sowarat Paswan Resident of Village- Tetrawan, P.S.- Manpur, District- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Vaishnavi Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Jha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 18-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned APP for the State.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners, at the outset, seeks permission to withdraw the anticipatory bail application with respect to petitioner no. 1 (Ghanshyam Paswan) who was arrested during pendency of the instant anticipatory bail application.

3. Permission is accorded.

4. The petitioner no. 2 apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 127(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023 read with Section 27 of the Arms Act.



5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner no. 2 is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on account of dispute relating to passage, the accused persons came and petitioner, Ghanshyam and Ram Sowarat assaulted him by sword, brick and *Kunta* while Roop Chandra fired to disperse the crowd.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that on account of dispute relating to passage, the occurrence is alleged to have taken place in both sides assaulted each other. It is also submitted that though it is alleged that petitioner along with Ghanshyam and Ram Sowarat assaulted the informant by sword, brick and *Kunta*, but then the informant has suffered only one injury on head which has been opined to be grievous. It is next submitted that allegation of assault is not specific and petitioner is not a criminal. It is also submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

7. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.



8. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, the petitioner no. 2 above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Manpur P.S. Case No. 110 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.

9. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application is allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

