

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84988 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-56 Year-2025 Thana- PRANPUR District- Katihar

=====

Baijnath Sah Son of Janak Sah R/O- Barjhalla, P.S.- Pranpur, District- Katihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Indu Kumari Srivastava, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 31-01-2026 Heard Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mrs. Indu Kumari Srivastava, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Pranpur P.S. Case No. 56 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 80 and 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023

3. The case of the prosecution in short is that one Juli Kumari (deceased) was married to the petitioner twelve years ago. It is alleged that she was subjected to cruelty on account of non-fulfillment of dowry demand and she was ousted from the house. Anyhow, she was sent to the matrimonial house. On 13.03.2025, she was killed by her in-laws. Petitioner is the husband.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the course of investigation, it has come that the deceased has a son out of wedlock. The deceased started living with his brother-in-law in Mumbai and lived there for seven years. When the brother-in-law died, she came back to her matrimonial house fifteen years ago. He also submits that the husband (petitioner) was working outside for his livelihood. The deceased started living in a single room, and she has committed suicide. This fact has been admitted by few of the independent witnesses. He also submits that from perusal of the post-mortem report, it will transpire that the doctor conducting autopsy of the deceased has opined that the cause of death is asphyxia as a result of hanging. Save and except the ligature mark, no other injury was found. He also submits that moreover in this case, police has submitted charge-sheet under Section 108 of the BNS. He further submits that a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 23.07.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.



6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Katihar in connection with Pranpur P.S. Case No. 56 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

