

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85599 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-359 Year-2025 Thana- FATEHPUR District- Gaya

-
1. Ramavatar Das @ Ramavatar Ravidas @ Ramotar Ravidas S/o Sarjun Ravidas R/o Village - Dharampur, P.S - Fatehpur, District - Gaya
 2. Sulochana Devi @ Sulochana Kumari W/o Ramavatar Ravidas @ Ramavatar Das R/o Village - Dharampur, P.S - Fatehpur, District - Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mrs. Priya Ranjan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 15-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. Petitioners apprehends their arrest in connection with Fatehpur P.S. Case No. 359 of 2025 registered for the offences under Sections 80 and 3(5) of B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant has alleged that his daughter was married to the accused Rajnish Kumar on 19.04.2024. It is alleged that the husband of the deceased and her other in-laws were demanding cash by way of dowry and that subsequently the informant was informed that his daughter had been done to death by the accused persons.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioners submit that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present case merely on account of the fact that they happen to be the father-in-law and mother-in-law of the deceased. It has further been submitted that the allegations levelled in the FIR are general and omnibus in nature against all the accused persons. Referring to the impugned order, it has been pointed out that as per the post-mortem report, the cause of death has been opined as asphyxia and shock due to hanging. It has lastly been submitted that the petitioners carry clean antecedents.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid submission, facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioners above named be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Fatehpur P.S. Case No. 359 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure read with



corresponding Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. as well as subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioners shall be their close relative.
- (ii) The petitioners shall remain physically present in Court on each date of the trial.
- (iii) In case of absence on two consecutive dates, or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioners will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.
- (iv) If the petitioners are found involved in similar nature of offence in future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bond.
- (v) The learned Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.



7. Accordingly, the present application stands disposed of.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

aditya/-

U			
---	--	--	--

