

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.86920 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-282 Year-2022 Thana- CHHAURADANO District- East
Champaran

-
1. Abdul Rahman Son of Manane Manan Miya Resident of Village - Pakariya, PS-Chhauradhano, District - East Champaran, Motihari.
 2. Md. Noorsid Alam @ Nurshad Alam @ Md Nursid Aalam @ Nursed Alam Son of Moharam Hussain @ Moharam Hussain @ Moharam Miya Resident of Village - Pakariya, PS-Chhauradhano, District - East Champaran, Motihari.
 3. Khurshed Alam Son of Moharam Hussain @ Moharam Miya Resident of Village - Pakariya, PS-Chhauradhano, District - East Champaran, Motihari.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sharda Nand Mishra, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 04-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection
with Chhauradano P.S. Case No. 282 of 2022 registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307, 379, 504
and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that
petitioners have antecedent of one case and the informant
alleges that he was going to Motihari along with his uncle in
connection of treatment of his uncle on 05.08.2022 at 01:00 PM,



when he was intercepted by the accused persons and Abdul with knife, Noorshed with sword and Khurshed with *saria* assaulted him causing injury on head, further Nurshed assaulted by sword causing injury on head and Reyaz along with Kherula assaulted his uncle causing injury on hand and leg and Reyaz put towel around the neck of the informant and started dragging, further Anwar took Rs. 5,000/- while Kherula snatched his chain worth Rs. 25,000/-

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, it is next submitted that no doubt allegation of assault is there, but then the injury suffered by the injured has been opined to be simple in nature, which amply demonstrates that petitioners never had any intention of committing a serious occurrence. It is also submitted that specific allegation of assaulting the informant by knife is against Abdul.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the anticipatory bail application and submits that petitioners have antecedent of one case and the allegation of assaulting the informant by knife is against Abdul, but then it is submitted that presence of the petitioner nos. 2 and 3, at the place of occurrence, emboldened Abdul to commit the occurrence of



stabbing on vital part of the body. It is submitted that informant was lucky that he did not suffer serious injury, but then had the injury of knife been serious, in that event one can well imagine the plight of the informant. It is also submitted that stabbing in itself is a serious offence.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

