

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85505 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-317 Year-2019 Thana- SAHPUR District- Patna

=====

Ramesh Ray @ Ramesh Rai Son of Saguwar Ray @ Sagbar Ray Resident of
Village - Shankarpur, P.O.- Ganghara, Police Station - Shahpur, District -
Patna 801507

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Ashok Kumar Son of Late Haricharan Ray Resident of Village - Shankarpur,
P.O.- Madhopur, Police Station - Shahpur, Dist. - Patna, Bihar - 801507

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Munish Kumar, Advocate Ms. Minakshi Kumari, Advocate Mr. Munna Raj, Advocate Mr. Shitanshu Shekhar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh.1, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 25-03-2026 Heard Mr. Munish Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh. 1, learned Additional

Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
23.07.2025 in connection with Shahpur P.S. Case No. 317 of
2019, F.I.R. dated 08.08.2019 for the offences punishable under
Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 504 and 307 of the Indian
Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. According to prosecution case, this petitioner along
with other accused persons over a previous dispute brutally
assaulted the informant and his family members.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that both the parties are agnate to each other and due to some petty dispute the present occurrence has taken place. Although there is specific allegation against the petitioner that he has assaulted to the father of the informant by means of sword due to which he received injury but there is no intention to kill anyone. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 23.07.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner on the ground that petitioner carries one criminal antecedent other than the present one but fairly submits that he is on bail in the pending matter.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate 6th, Danapur in connection with Shahpur P.S. Case No. 317 of 2019, subject to the following conditions:-



i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

