

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84441 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-96 Year-2015 Thana- PAKRIDAYAL District- East Champaran

Sanjay Singh @ Khesari Singh Son of Jubba Singh Resident of Village-
Moglaniya, P.S.- Madhuban, District- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Abhishek Kumar, Advocate

Mr. Harsh Shaswat, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anand Kishore Choudhary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

3 20-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 302, 120 B of the IPC and
Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, two unknown
miscreants are alleged to have shot dead the informant's son.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
First Information report was lodged against two unknown
miscreants who are alleged to have shot at the informant's son.
The name of the petitioner transpired, subsequently, during the
course of investigation in the confessional statement of co-
accused Bachha Lal Rai. However, in the confessional statement



of another co-accused, Mahesh Kumar, it has been clearly stated that firing upon the son of the informant was done by one Chote Lal and the petitioner has nothing to do with the said Chotte Lal or any other co-accused persons. Further, several other co-accused persons have been granted bail by different Benches of this court including co-accused, Mahesh Kumar, upon whose confessional statement the name of co-accused Chote Lal has transpired. Annexure-2 series contains all the bail orders of seven co-accused persons. The reason for late surrender of the petitioner has been explained as not having knowledge of the fact that he was also made an accused in the present case. Further, petitioner is in custody since 06.08.2025 with no criminal antecedent. Charge sheet has already been submitted

5. Learned APP for the State opposed the bail petition.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the other co-accused persons have been granted bail by different benches of this court, the above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Pakridayal



P.S. Case No. 96 of 2015 , subject to the condition that :

(i) The petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, his bail bonds shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned court concerned.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

vashudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

