

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91100 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-394 Year-2025 Thana- PHULWARISHARIF District- Patna

Md. Jahangir Alam @ Md. Jahangir @ Md. Jaha Son of Late Md. Khurshid
Alam Resident of Milkiyana Mohalla, P.S.- Phulwari Sharif, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Tarikur Rahman Son of Resident of Sabjpura, Jafar Colony, P.S.- Phulwari Sharif, District - Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Anuj Dilbar Krishna, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Pranav Kumar, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Praveen Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 10-02-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant/Opposite Party No. 2.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 406, 420 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, allegation levelled against this petitioner is that he, along with his wife, received a sum of Rs. 38,00,000/- from the informant as consideration money for



registering a land in favour of the informant, however, after some time, they refused to register the land and sold the same to someone else and also refused to return the money.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. From bare perusal of the F.I.R. it is apparent that the dispute is with regard to sale and purchase of land which is purely civil in nature.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant/Opposite Party No. 2 have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation that he, along with his wife, took consideration money in lieu of registering a land in favour of the informant but thereafter, refused to do the same, sold the land to some one else and also refused to return the money. Petitioner is also signatory of the agreement to sale. Petitioner has got three criminal antecedents out of which two are of similar nature.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specific and direct nature of accusation, gravity of offence and criminal antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of



anticipatory bail to the petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

