

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3952 of 2025

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8354 of 2022

-
1. Piyush Kumar Sinha Son of Chaturabhuj Prasad Verma, Resident of Village- Andarkila, P.S.- Hajipur, District- Vaishali.
 2. Pratibha Sharan Mishra, Son of Dipnarayan Mishra, Resident of Village- Chandsaray, P.S.- Mahua, District- Vaishali.
 3. Praveen Kumar Jha, Son of Nagendra Nandan Jha, Resident of Village- Madhopur Kapur, P.S.- Kurhani, District- Muzaffarpur.
 4. Abha Kumari, Wife of Raj Ballabh Chaudhary, Resident of Village/ Mohalla- Adarsh Nagar, Khabra, Khabra urf Kiratpur Gurdas, P.S.- Khabra, District- Muzaffarpur.
 5. Krishna Mohan, Son of Late Mahaveer Sah, Resident of Village- Madhopur, Kapur, P.O.- Chandrahatti, P.S.- Kurhani, District- Muzaffarpur.
 6. Sanjeev Kumar Gautam, Son of Late Satya Narayan Singh, Resident of Ward No.-2, Kurhani Tola, P.S.- Kurhani, District- Muzaffarpur.
 7. Ravi Kumar Kunal, Son of Late Satya Narayan Sinha, Resident of Ward No.-2, Kurhani Tola, P.S.- Kurhani, District- Muzaffarpur.
 8. Shanti Devi, Wife of Nandlal Mahto, Resident of Ward No.-10, Kurhani, P.S.- Kurhani, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Dr. B. Rajendra, the Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Sajjan R, the Director, Secondary Education, Bihar, Patna.
4. Sri Kumar Arvind Sinha, the District Education Officer, Muzaffarpur.
5. Sri Indra Kumar Karn, the District Programme Officer (Est.), Muzaffarpur.
6. Sri Rajdev Ram, the Regional Deputy Director Education, Muzaffarpur.
7. Smt. Aparna, the Headmaster, Krishna Vibhuti Balika Uchch Vidyalaya, Kudhni, Muzaffarpur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Niraj Kumar Sanidh, Adv. Mr. Ashutosh Kumar, Adv. Mr. Deepak Kumar Sinha, Adv. Ms. Sukanya Bharti, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Madhaw Pd. Yadav, GP-23 Mr. Arvind Kumar, AC to GP-23

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR



ORAL ORDER

3 03-07-2026 Learned Advocate for the petitioners on instruction submitted that by the order under contempt, the writ petition was disposed off with a direction to the respondent no. 6 to consider the claim of the petitioners and pass necessary order. However, the claim of the petitioners came to be rejected and, as such, he seeks permission to withdraw the present contempt application with a liberty to assail the order passed by the concerned authority.

2. Learned Advocate for the State has no objection.

3. In view, thereof, the present contempt petition stands closed with the liberty aforesaid.

(Harish Kumar, J)

shivank/-

U			
---	--	--	--

