

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84789 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-311 Year-2024 Thana- LAKHISARAI District- Lakhisarai

Manoj Saw, S/O Ramjee Saw, R/O village - Dharmraychak, Ward No. 6, P.S. -
Lakhisarai, Dist.- Lakhisarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sarvesh Kashyap, Advocate Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate Ms. Kumari Aakansha Rai, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Pranav Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

5 18-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail in connection with Lakhisarai P.S. Case No. 311 of 2024 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act which was earlier rejected by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 09.04.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 82326 of 2024.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other six being armed with weapon fired at Basanti Devi @ Baso Devi and Lakho Devi. It is alleged that Basanti Devi received two gun shot injuries whereas Lakho Devi



received three gun shot injuries. Baso Devi succumbed to the injury.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case. From perusal of the postmortem report it will transpire that Basanti Devi has received three firearm injury and one lacerated wound. It has further been submitted that the nature of allegation is general and omnibus. Petitioner is next door neighbour. It has further been submitted that in this case, till today only one witness has been examined i.e. Manju Devi, the informant and she has stated that while the accused persons started firing, she concealed herself behind a drum. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that this statement of the informant goes to show there is no eye witness to the occurrence. Petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 23.05.2024.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named



petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District and Additional Sessions Judge-III, Lakhisarai in connection with Lakhisarai P.S. Case No. 311 of 2024.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

