

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.87638 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-554 Year-2025 Thana- SAHARSA SADAR District- Saharsa

1. Bablu Yadav @ Babloo Yadav S/O Bucho Yadav @ Upendra Yadav Resident of Ramfal Sah Tola, Ward No.12, P.S.- Saharsa Sadar, District Saharsa
2. Chandan Yadav S/O Bucho Yadav @ Upendra Yadav Resident of Ramfal Sah Tola, Ward No.12, P.S.- Saharsa Sadar, District Saharsa
3. Bhavesh Yadav S/O Bucho Yadav @ Upendra Yadav Resident of Ramfal Sah Tola, Ward No.12, P.S.- Saharsa Sadar, District Saharsa

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Amarnath Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nirmal Kumar Sinha, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

6      30-03-2026                      Heard Mr.Amarnath Jha, learned counsel for the petitioners, learned counsel for the informant and Mr.Nirmal Kumar Sinha, learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail, who are in custody since 03.08.2025 in connection with Saharsa Sadar P.S.Case No.554 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 12.05.2025 registered for the offence punishable under Section 191(2), 191(3), 190, 118(2), 126(2), 109, 118(1), 125, 303(2), 76, 119(1) of BNS, 2023.

3. The brief facts leading to lodging of the present FIR as per informant Usha Devi is that on 12.05.2025 at about 10:30 AM when her husband was cultivating his land then all



the accused/petitioners along with other co-accused, lashed with several weapons brutally beaten her husband. It has been further alleged that Dharendra Yadav and Bablu Yadav hit and caused head injury to Kamal Pandit and Ganesh Yadav. Amit Kumar. Nankhu Yadav caused bodily injury to the husband of the informant. The accused/petitioner Chandan Yadav gave a farsa blow to Bharmnand Pandit and accused/petitioner Bablu Yadav outraged the modesty of the informant and co-accused Nankhu Yadav put his gun in the mouth of informant.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the allegation as alleged in the FIR is false and fabricated and the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. Further submits that it appears from the FIR that due to some petty reason, the present occurrence had taken place and there is no specific allegation of any assault or overt-act attributed against the petitioners rather there is general and omnibus allegation against all the accused persons including the petitioners and apart from that, it appears from the FIR itself that due to land dispute, the present occurrence had taken place and the police, after investigation, submitted chargesheet against the petitioners and the petitioners are in custody since 03.08.2025.



5. Learned counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State have opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners and submits that the petitioners are named in the FIR, apart from that, they have assaulted to the family members of the informant. Further submits that the petitioners carry five more cases other than the present one.

6. Considering the aforesaid fact, let the petitioners, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Saharsa in connection with Saharsa Sadar P.S. Case No.554 of 2025, with the following conditions:-

(I) Petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on their absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at



any stage, it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioners. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

**(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)**

Nitesh/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

