

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84339 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-202 Year-2025 Thana- OBRA District- Aurangabad

Manish Kumar @ Golu @ Manish Kumr Gupta Son of Bindu Prasad @ Bindu Prasad Gupta @ Bindu Kumar Gupta, Resident of Village- Obra, PS- Obra, Dist - Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Santosh Prasad son of Nandu Prasad, Resident of Village- Arai, PS- Daudnagar, Dist- Aurangabad.

... .. Opposite Parties

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Aryan Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Ms. Nirmala Kumari, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Suraj Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

4 11-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the informant and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with Obra P.S. Case No. 202 of 2025 dated 05.06.2025, registered for the offence punishable under Section 80(2) read with Section 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the allegation against the petitioner who was married with the daughter of the informant is that he and his family members committed dowry death of the daughter of the informant.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. The *post-mortem* report did not show any cause



of death and opinion was reserved till receipt of viscera report. However, even in viscera report, no foul play was found. He further submits that the daughter of the informant died due to liver disease and the petitioner and his family members have falsely been implicated in the present case. Even in the *post-mortem* report, no external or internal life threatening injury was found. Learned counsel next submits that petitioner is having antecedent of one case and chargesheet has been submitted. Learned counsel lastly submits that petitioner is in custody since 05.06.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State as well as learned counsel for the informant oppose the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the informant submits that one abrasion was found below the jaw of the deceased and it shows that she was murdered.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the absence of material to show unnatural death of the daughter of the informant and further considering the petitioner's period of custody and submission of chargesheet, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two



sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Daudnagar, Aurangabad / concerned Court, in connection with **Obra P.S. Case No. 202 of 2025**, subject to the condition laid down under Section 480(3) of the B.N.S.S. and other following conditions:

- (i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.*
- (ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below, if so required by the learned trial Court.*
- (iii) In case of absence on three consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.*

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

