

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84146 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-28 Year-2025 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Samastipur

Raju Ram @ Raj Kumar Suraj S/O Rajendra Ram R/O Village- Madapur
Chhapra, P.S.- Pusa, District- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. X D/O Y R/O Village- Madapur Chhapra, Ward no. 11, P.S.- Pusa, District- Samastipur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Alok Kumar Alok, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sadanand Paswan, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 09-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Mahila
P.S. Case No. 28 of 2025 instituted for the offence under
Sections 69, 89, 352 & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,
2023, Sections 4 & 6 of the POCSO Act and Sections 3(1)(r)(s)
(w) & 3(2)(v) of the SC/ST Act.

3. The prosecution story, in brief, is that the
informant, a matriculation student, was repeatedly molested and
later raped by Ankit Kumar in February 2024. When she became
pregnant, Ankit and his parents attempted to suppress the matter
and later refused to marry her, leading to a panchayat and the



present case.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 18.04.2025. Petitioner bears no criminal antecedent, as per disclosure made in paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. From perusal of the FIR, it would manifest that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner, rather the same is against the son of the petitioner. No case is made out against the petitioner under the offences of SC/ST Act as the petitioner has not abused the informant in the public place. Charge sheet has already been submitted in this case. Mother of the main accused has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 15.10.2025, passed in Cr. Misc. 51116 of 2025.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, period of custody of the petitioner, there being no specific allegation against the petitioner and charge sheet being submitted, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing



bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties
of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court
below/concerned Court in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No.
28 of 2025.

Raj Kishore/-

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

