

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84004 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-235 Year-2025 Thana- DINARA District- Rohtas

Ganga Sagar Chaudhary Son of Rajendra Chaudhary Resident of village-
Kailakh, PS- Dhansoin Distt- Buxar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arun Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms.Nirmala Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 17-01-2026 Heard Mr.Arun Kumar Singh, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Ms.Nirmala Kumari, learned A.P.P. for the
State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
17.06.2025 in connection with Dinara P.S. Case No. 235 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 25.05.20285 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 103(1) and 3(5) of BNS, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, informant alleges
that on 24.05.2025 about 7.30 PM, the informant alongwith his
relatives went for Tilak of his sister and after the Tilak
ceremony, his brother was enticed by the accused and killed by
sharp cutting weapon and using brick/stone.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent. The allegation



as alleged in the FIR is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. Petitioner has been made accused in the present case merely on the basis of suspicion, no one has seen the present occurrence, informant is not the eye witness of the alleged occurrence and nothing has come during investigation against the petitioner to suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence. The police, after investigation, submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 17.06.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner is named in the FIR and he has participated in the present crime in question but fairly submits that no one has seen the present occurrence and informant is not the eye witness of the alleged occurrence.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bikramganj, Rohtas in connection with Dinara P.S. Case No. 235 of 2025, with the following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be



properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

