

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84201 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-56 Year-2025 Thana- MARANCHI District- Patna

=====

Micky Kumar @ Mikki Kumar @ Himanshu Kumar S/o Sujeet Kumar @
Sujeet Singh Resident of Village- Sakarwar Tola (wrongly typed in impugned
order as Shankarwar Tola), Ward No. 15, Mokama, P.S.- Mokama, District-
Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shashank Shekhar, Advocate Mr. Mukund Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Md. Ataur Rahman, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 31-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 305 and
331(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the
informant was informed that some miscreants have committed
theft in his house. When he entered in his house he found that
all the locks of the doors were broken and a golden chain
weighing 5 gm, two gold rings weighing 15 gm and cash
Rs.66,000/- were stolen.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



during course of investigation the petitioner was apprehended in Mokama P.S. Case no. 228 of 2025 wherein he has confessed his guilt. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that save and except the confessional statement, nothing is there against the petitioner. It has further been submitted that from the possession of the petitioner two golden rings and one chain was recovered. Learned counsel for the petitioner has filed a receipt of the golden ornaments which he has purchased from Mokama. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that actually the articles which are said to be recovered from the possession of the petitioner are his own. No recovery of the stolen articles have been made from the possession of this petitioner. It has further been submitted that in this case no TIP was conducted of the stolen articles. As such, it could not be ascertained as to whether the articles which have been recovered from the possession of this petitioner are the stolen one or not. Learned counsel for the petitioner has lastly submitted that the petitioner is in judicial custody since 04.08.2025.

5. Learned Additional Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the bail application of the petitioner and has submitted that the petitioner is having criminal antecedent of ten cases and most of the cases are of Mokama P.S.



6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail with the condition that **the petitioner shall mark his attendance weekly in Mokama P.S. and he shall co-operate in the trial.** The above named petitioner is directed to be released on bail in connection with Maranchi P.S. Case No. 56 of 2025 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned ACJM-III, Barh, Patna.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

durgesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

