

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84174 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-66 Year-2025 Thana- SUPAUL District- Supaul

Md. Aliamad @ Md. Aliadam S/o Late Hatim Resident of Village- Mahuaa,
Ward No. 9, P.S. and District- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md Harun Quareshi, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

2 28-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Supaul
P.S. Case No. 66 of 2025 instituted for the offences under
Sections 310(4), 310(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023
and 25(1-b)a, 26, 35 of the Arms Act.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that on 10.02.2025,
police intercepted a tempo during night patrolling near Thalha
Chowk, Supaul, and recovered illegal arms, live cartridges and
tools for committing loot/dacoity from the accused, who failed



to explain the possession thereof.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. Learned counsel further submitted that the petitioner is being dragged in this case merely because he happens to be the owner-cum-driver of the alleged tempo which was recovered from the spot. Learned counsel further submitted that except the said vehicle, no incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted that petitioner has got no concern with the recovered arms and ammunitions. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 11.02.2025 and has one criminal antecedent. There is no compliance of Section 103 of the Bharatiya Nagarika Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court



below/concerned Court in connection with Supaul P.S. Case
No. 66 of 2025.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

