

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84550 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-426 Year-2025 Thana- NAUBATPUR District- Patna

Mukul Yadav @ Mukul Kumar S/O Vijay Prasad @ Vijay Yadav Resident of
village- Raganiya Bagh, P.S.- Naubatpur, Dist.- Patna

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Patna
2. X S/O Y Resident of village- Tiskhora Geda Tar, P.S.- Naubatpur, Dist.- Patna

... .. Opposite Parties

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Anant Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Opposite Party-State: Mr. Sadanand Paswan, Spl. PP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

6 27-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the opposite party
No.2.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Naubatpur P.S. Case No. 426 of 2025 dated 24.07.2025,
registered for the offence under Sections 126(2), 127(2), 115(2),
75, 76, 78, 137(2), 62, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya
Sanhita, 2023, Indian Penal Code and Sections 3(1)(Dha), 3 (1)
(Da), 3(1) w (I), 3 (1) (w), (ii), 3(2)(va) of Scheduled Castes and
Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act (SC/ST Act)
and Section 8/12 of the Protection of Children From Sexual
Offences Act (POCSO Act)

3. As per the allegation, when the daughter of the



informant along with other girls were on way to school, the petitioner and co-accused Bhawani Yadav are alleged to have come riding on a motorcycle and as soon as the daughter of the informant and other girls were passing through them, they started touching her and tried to forcibly got her seated on a motorcycle and tried to take her away, in course of which, other girls surrounded the motorcycle, then this petitioner and co-accused Bhawani Yadav started teasing the daughter of the informant. Upon alarm being raised, the accused persons fled away. It is further alleged that the informant as well as his family members were also abused by the caste name.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 10.10.2025, charge-sheet has been submitted and there is no allegation of tampering. The alleged abuse was not made in public place within the public view so as to attract the provisions of SC/ST Act. It has further been submitted that actually there was some hot talk between the girls and the petitioner, which has resulted into exaggerated version of the allegation by the informant. It has also been submitted that the petitioner is ready to cooperate in the trial.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State as well as learned



counsel for the opposite party no.2 vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submitted that there is specific allegation against the petitioner.

6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the records. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named, petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional District and Sessions Judge-VII-cum-Special Exclusive Judge POCSO Act, Patna, in connection with Naubatpur Case No. 426 of 2025.

(Praveen Kumar, J)

Pawan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

