

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85686 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-131 Year-2025 Thana- Krishnabraham District- Buxar

=====

Bharat Singh @ Bharat Singh Maurya Son of Suresh Singh @ Suresh Kumar
Singh Maurya R/o Village - Barka Dhakaich, P.S. - Krishnabraham, Dist. -
Buxar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Gupta, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Shaheen Begum, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 05-01-2026 Heard the learned Advocate for the petitioner and the
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Krishnabraham P.S. Case No. 131 of 2025, registered for
the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1),
109, 351(2), 352 and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. In the morning of the fateful day, the petitioner
along with others went to the house of the informant and tried to
dispossess her on the pretext that the house has been sold to
them by her brother-in-law. On objection being raised, it is
specifically alleged that this petitioner assaulted over the head
of the informant, due to which she sustained head injury, besides
the allegation against co-accused *Shatrdhan Maurya*, who



assaulted over her hand, due to which she sustained fracture injury. While the son of the informant came to her rescue, he was also assaulted by the accused persons.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner referring to the FIR contended that so far the injury, which is allegedly attributed to the petitioner is concerned, the same has been duly discussed in the impugned order and there is no doubt that the same is found to be simple in nature. So far the injury, which is attributed to co-accused *Shatrdhan Maurya* and found over the right hand, is said to be grievous in nature, however, that is also on non vital part. The entire occurrence has taken place in the premise of a land dispute, which is also evident from the long standing pendency of the suits and the appeals, as has been stated in paragraph no. 8 of the bail application. The parties are agnates and the land, in question, was purchased by the mother of the petitioner and the mutation has also been made in her favour. Despite the aforesaid facts, the informant and her family members are bickering over the land dispute, resulting into some free fight and unfortunate injuries. The petitioner bears fair antecedent.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State opposed the pre-arrest bail application and submits that because



of the assault being made by the petitioner and his brother, the informant has sustained a grievous injury.

6. Regard being had to the submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the nature of accusation against the petitioner, coupled with the long standing land dispute, besides the fair antecedent of the petitioner, let the petitioner above named be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Buxar in connection with Krishnabraham P.S. Case No. 131 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with the further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(Harish Kumar, J)

shivank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

