

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20637 of 2025

Reena Kumari w/o Kedar Kumar Gupta resident of Village Harpur Bax, P.O. Raghai, P.S. Meenapur, District Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Social Welfare Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director Integrated Child Development Services, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Divisional Commissioner Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur.
4. The District Magistrate Cum Collector, Muzaffarpur.
5. The District Programme Officer, Muzaffarpur.
6. The Child Development Project Officer Block Meenapur, District Muzaffarpur.
7. The Lady Supervisor C.D.P.O. Office Meenapur Block District Muzaffarpur.
8. Firdoush Khatoon W/O Riyaul Haque resident of Village Harpur bax P.O. Raghai, P.S. Meenapur, District Muzaffarpur

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Amrendra Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. K.P. Gupta, GP-10
		Mr. Satya Vrat, AC to GP-10

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

2 29-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondents.

2. The petitioner has filed the instant application for the following relief(s):

“(I) To issue an appropriate order/s direction/s, including a writ preferably in the nature of CERTIORARI for quashing the order dated 23.06.2025 passed in Anganwari case No.12/2021 passed by the District Magistrate Cum Collector Muzaffarpur whereby and where under he has rejected the appeal



filed by the petitioner and affirmed the order dated 12.03.2021 as contained in Memo No. 817 passed by the District Programme Officer, Muzaffarpur.

(II) To quash the order as contained in Memo No. 817 dated 12.03.2021 passed by the District programme Officer Muzaffarpur passed in Case No. 87 of 2019 whereby and where under he has cancelled the Selection of the petitioner to the post of Anganwadi Sewika in terms of clause 12 of Anganwadi Sevika /Sahayika guidelines 2016.

(III). To direct the respondent no. 6 and 7 to reinstate the petitioner to the post of Anganwadi Sevika for the abovementioned Anganwadi center, hence forth with.

(IV) To any other relief/s to which the petitioner was be found entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. At the outset, it is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that the petitioner has an alternate and efficacious remedy by way of filing an appeal against the order dated 23.6.2025 passed by the District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Muzaffarpur before the Divisional Commissioner.

4. The Court finds substance in the submission made on behalf of the respondents.

5. The writ application stands disposed of giving liberty to the petitioner to challenge the order dated 23.6.2025 of the District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Muzaffarpur before the Divisional Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur.



6. In case the same is filed within a period of one month, the same shall be considered and decided in accordance with law at the earliest.

7. It is made clear that the Court has not entered into the merits of the case of the petitioner which is to be decided by the authority concerned.

8. The writ application stands disposed of.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Saurabh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

