

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.83828 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-348 Year-2025 Thana- AKBARPUR District- Nawada

Bipin Ravidas Son of Vijay Ravidas Village -Dumarkol PS -Rajauli District-
Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Dauli Kumari Daughter of Umesh Kumar Resident Of Village -Ward no. 14,
Arariya, Ps- Kanhauli, Dist- Sitamarhi

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rajesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Dr. Ajeet Kumar, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Nawal Kishore Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 08-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned
counsel for the informant and the learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Akbarpur P.S. Case No. 348 of 2025 dated 21.07.2025
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 69 of the
Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant has
alleged that the owner of the R.S. Restaurant namely Md. Saif
had brought her to work as a waiter in his restaurant. She was
even promoted to the post of cashier and during her service she
became friend with Bipin Ravidas (the petitioner). It has been
alleged that on account of their friendship the petitioner had



taken a loan of Rs. 50,000/- and also assured to marry with her however subsequently he refused to marry and even threatened her of dire consequences.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated and it is a case of love affair and on account of the petitioner not adhering to her regular demand he has falsely been implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that there was a relationship between the petitioner and the informant and there was no promise on the side of the petitioner for any marriage and only to coerce the petitioner the present case has been filed. It has next been submitted that during the pendency of this application the petitioner and the informant has compromised and a petition dated 10.10.2025 has been filed before the learned trial court and the same has been brought on record by way of Annexure-P-2. It is next submitted that in terms of the compromise the parties are ready to marry and, therefore, no dispute remains as of now.

5. Learned APP for the State and the learned counsel for the informant has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and has stated that since the petitioner has agreed to marry the O.P. No. 2, he does not have any objection to bail



being granted to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate - 1st Class, Nawada in connection with Akbarpur P.S. Case No. 348 of 2025 subject to the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner:

(ii) the petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the trial court, if so required by the learned trial court:

(iii) in case of absence on two consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bonds of the petitioner will liable to be cancelled by the court concerned:

(iv) the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bonds of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of the



same on in the name of verification;

7. It is made clear that the observations, if any, made
in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

krishna/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

