

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.87607 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-56 Year-2024 Thana- SHRIKRISHNAPURI District- Patna

Kundan Chaudhary @ Nandan Chaudhary S/O Subelal Chaudhary @ Sube
Lal Chaudhary R/O Vill.- Dumrawan, P.s.- Ashtawan, District -Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kumari Sujata Sinha, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sangeeta Sharma, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 11-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. This is the second attempt on behalf of the petitioner for grant of bail in connection with Shree Krishanpuri P.S.Case No. 56 of 2024 registered for the offence punishable under Section 25(1-b)(a), 26, 35 of the Arms Act.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner has been rejected vide order dated 07.05.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No.28392 of 2025, which reads as under:-

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State, Sri Jharkhandi Upadhyay.

2. The petitioner, who is in custody since 24.02.2024, seeks regular bail in connection with S.K.Puri P.S. Case No. 56 of 2024 registered for the offence under Sections 25(1-B)a, 25 and 35 of the



Arms Act.

3. The Police raided a boys hostel in Patna and recovered one Carbine, pistols etc., from the accused persons. The carbine has been recovered from the co-accused and a pistol has been recovered from the petitioner. They were found in the same room.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that since a pistol has been recovered from the petitioner therefore the petitioner deserves bail. When carbine and pistol have been recovered from the same room and both the criminals were found in the same room, the petitioner cannot escape the liability of having been in possession of the carbine.

6. The petitioner is accused in seven more cases of similar and serious nature.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this application for regular bail is hereby rejected.

8. The Court below is directed to expedite the trial.

9. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna is directed to ensure that the trial is concluded at the earliest.

10. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the Principal District and Sessions Judge, Patna as well as to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna through FAX for its compliance forthwith”

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



the charge has been framed.

5. Considering the gravity of the offence and the fact that the trial has started, I am not inclined to review my earlier order.

6. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

N.K/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

