

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.83749 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-711 Year-2023 Thana- BEGUSARAI MUFFASIL District-
Begusarai

=====

Rajesh Kumar @ Kallu @ Kallu Kumar Singh @ Kalu Son of Ramcharitar
Singh Resident of - Ratanpur, Ward No.- 21, P.S.- Ratanpur, District -
Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Anil Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Anil Kumar, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Ravi Raj, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 3 17-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of
the informant.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 306 and 34
of the Indian Penal Code.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean
antecedent and the informant alleges that her father on
04.12.2023 committed suicide on account of harassment and
torture meted out by the accused persons, further for the last 7-8
months, petitioner, Vijay, Rohit and Ritesh along with unknown



accused used to come to her house and abused her father, brother and other family members and demanded money and used to torture her father for not returning the money and pressurized him to sell his land at a lower price and threatened to kill her father and brother, thus her father was not able to suffer the torture and thus ended his life.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that the allegation in the FIR is that petitioner along with accused persons abetted in suicide of the father of the informant. It is further submitted that father of the informant had taken a loan of Rs. 27 Lakhs from the petitioner out of which an amount of Rs. 8,73,000/- was returned in between 04.04.2023 to 31.07.2023 and an amount of Rs. 4,10,000/- was returned in between 15.07.2022 to 14.03.2023. It is also submitted that brother of the informant with an intent to return the left over loan amount of the petitioner had issued cheque for an amount of Rs. 4,19,000/- dated 27.03.2023 and cheque dated 22.08.2023 for an amount of Rs. 7 Lakhs, but then the cheques on presentation for encashment bounced. It is submitted that no doubt the father of the informant committed suicide, but then petitioner was not



responsible in any manner in abetting the suicide. It is also submitted that it has been falsely alleged in the FIR that petitioner and other co-accused persons were pressurizing the father of the informant for selling his land at a lower price in lieu of the money which he had taken. It is submitted that father of the informant had taken loan from various persons including the petitioner and has also returned some amount, but since substantial amount was due, as such, the petitioner along with other accused persons used to visit the house of the informant with a request to her father to return the amount and at times the petitioner along with other accused persons even took a hard stand. It is further submitted that no doubt the deceased while committing suicide left a suicide note wherein name of the petitioner is also recorded, but then the suicide note has been left only with an intent to implicate all the accused persons. It is reiterated and submitted that it is not in dispute that father of the informant had taken money from the petitioner and other accused persons whose name also finds mention in the suicide note. It is also submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence. It is next submitted that similarly situated co-accused Vijay Singh had approached this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing



Criminal Miscellaneous No. 64188 of 2025 and the same came to be allowed by an order dated 17.09.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State as well as the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner, but then are not in a position to rebut the submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that the case of the petitioner, if not akin, is similar to the case of Vijay Singh who has been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 711 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his father namely Ramcharitar Singh.

8. However, it is made clear that in the event if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the



learned Trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioner despite giving assurance to this Court is not co-operating in the investigation, in that event the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

9. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned police station through the learned Trial Court.

10. **Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.**

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

