

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.83347 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-195 Year-2025 Thana- MANSI District- Khagaria

Md. Saddam @ Md. Sadam @ Mohammad Saddam S/O Md. Arifuddin @
Mohammad Arif R/O Gram Fango, Ward No. 6, Phango, P.S. Mansi, District-
Khagaria, Bihar, India

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravi Shankar Roy, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Dr. Indihar Kumari, APP
Mr. Binod Murari Mishra, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

4 11-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with Mansi P.S. Case No. 195 of 2025, registered for the offences under Sections 140(1), 140(2), 140(3), 3(5) of the BNS.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant alleged that his adult son was abducted by the petitioner and others and they had been demanding Rs. 50000/-.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. No occurrence as alleged has ever taken place. The son of the informant has been recovered after the matter was first listed before the Coordinate Bench of this Court and Superintendent of Police, Khagaria was directed to recover the son of the informant. The statement of the victim



was recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS and from this statement it is clear that there is no allegation against this petitioner and whatever allegation is levelled, it is against one Piko for not making payment after the son of the informant repaired his motorcycle. It is not believable that on his threat the victim left his place and went to Delhi and returned after four months thereafter. Learned counsel further submits that it is an out and out false case. No one abducted the son of the informant and only to harass the petitioner and others, the informant has lodged this false case. The petitioner is in custody since 09.08.2025 and charge sheet has been submitted. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant oppose the submission made on behalf of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the informant submits that the petitioner and other coaccused persons abducted the son of the informant and kept him confined. Only after police started making raids, he was released by his abductors.

6. Having regard to the fact and circumstances and submission made on behalf of the parties and considering the improbabile and doubtful nature of allegation and statement of



victim and also considering clean antecedent of this petitioner and submission of charge sheet, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned JMFC, Khagaria/concerned court, in connection with Mansi P.S. Case No. 195 of 2025, subject to the condition laid down under Section 480(3) of the BNSS and other following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below, if so required by the learned trial court.

(iii) In case of absence on three consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the court concerned.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

anuradha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

