

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84086 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-35 Year-2025 Thana- IMAMGANJ District- Gaya

Mithilesh Bhuiyan @ Mithlesh Kumar Bhuiyan, S/O Ramswarup Bhuiyan @
Ramsrup Mandal, R/O Vill.- Kuibar, P.S.- Imamganj, Dist.- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. [REDACTED] R/O Vill. and Post- Maira , P.S.- Imamganj,
Dist.- Gaya.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Abneesh Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Mirtyunjay Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

5 24-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Imamganj P.S. Case No. 35 of 2025 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 96 and 3(5) of B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the
petitioner has kidnapped the minor daughter of the informant for
the purpose of marriage.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has
committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this



case. It has further been submitted that during course of investigation, the victim has given her statement under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S. wherein she has stated that she liked the petitioner who is her tenant and she has gone on her own will to Patna with him. They lived there in a rented room. There was no physical relationship between them. She lived there with her consent. They were apprehended by the police. It has also been submitted that from perusal of the medical examination report, it is clear that the doctors have opined that the age of victim is between 18 to 19 years and from her statement under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S. it is clear that she herself has gone with the petitioner and that there was no relationship between them. Petitioner is having no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 19.02.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the application for bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned



Exclusive Special Judge, POCSO-cum-Additional Sessions
Judge-VII, Gaya Ji in connection with Imamganj P.S. Case No.
35 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

