

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.83390 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-729 Year-2025 Thana- SIKARPUR District- West Champaran

Akhilesh Ram Son of Shri Bindhyachal Ram Resident of village - Rajpur,
P.S.- Shikarpur, District - West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Alexander Ashok, Advocate
For the Informant	:	Mr. Manindra Kishore Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Mukesh Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 17-01-2026 Heard Mr. Alexander Ashok, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Manindra Kishore Singh, learned counsel for the Informant and Mr. Mukesh Kumar Singh, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since 30.08.2025, in connection with Shikarpur P.S. Case No. 729 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 18.07.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 303(2), 3(5) of B.N.S.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he along with other co-accused persons has assaulted Murari Mishra with knife and sharp edge *grasi* due to which he sustained injury.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that although the petitioner is named in the F.I.R. along with other co-accused person but from perusal of the F.I.R. it appears that there is no specific allegation of any assault or overt act attributed against him rather there is general and omnibus allegation against all the accused persons including the petitioner. Although, the son of the informant has received injury but the injury report of the informant suggests that all the injuries are simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 30.08.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State as well as learned counsel for the Informant, on the other hand, vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner has participated in the present crime in question as well as the petitioner carries one more case other than the present one.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of



learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, West Champaran, Bettiah in connection with Shikarpur P.S. Case No. 729 of 2025, subject to the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

Ibrar//-

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

