

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.21666 of 2018

=====

Laxman Ram son of Gaya Ram, resident of village-Belwa Parsauni, P.S.-
Inarwa, District-West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar.
2. The Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur.
3. The District Magistrate, West Champaran, Bihar.
4. The Additional Collector West Champaran, Bettiah.
5. The Land Reforms Deputy Collector Narkatiganj, Eest Champaran, Bettiah.
6. The land Reforms Deputy Collector Bettiah, West Champaran.
7. The Sub Divisional Officer, Bettiah, West Champaran.
8. The Sub Divisional Officer Narkatiganj, West Champaran.
9. The Circle Officer, Mainatand, West Champaran.
10. The Sub-Divisional Police Officer Narkatiaganj, West Champaran.
11. The Station House Officer, Inarwa Police Station, West Champaran.
12. Braj Bhushan Prasad.
13. Chandra Bhushan Prasad. Respondent No. 13 & 14 both sons of late Jagarnath Prasad Residents of village-Keshariya, P.S. Shikarpur, District-West Champaran, Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manish Kumar No 13, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Dhurjati Kumar Prasad, GP-14

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 06-01-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“A. A Mandamus Commanding the
respondent's authorities particularly Additional
Collector, West Champaran, Bettiah (Respondent
No. 5) who is bound to do, to disposed the
Jamabandi Correction case (जमाबन्दी सुधार वाद*



संख्या) 146/17-18 pending before him, as early as possible as the section 9 of the Bihar Land Mutation Act, Rule 13(5) of Bihar Land Mutation Rule 2012 contemplates that the period of the notice shall be of 14 days from registration of aforesaid Jambandi correction case, which has already lapsed.

B. Any other writ/writs for granting any other relief/reliefs for which the petitioner is found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the present case.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that with the passage of time, the grievance has been redressed, as such, the writ petition has become infructuous and he may be permitted to withdraw the petition.

4. Permission accorded.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of as infructuous.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

