

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85414 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-456 Year-2024 Thana- KAUWAKOL District- Nawada

Ramashish Yadav @ Ashish Yadav Son of Munshi Yadav R/O Vill-
Karmatand, P.S.- Kawakole, District- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Mirityunjay Kumar, Advocate Mr. Brajesh Sahay, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mrs. Anita Kumari, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Thakur Brajesh Singh, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 13-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State, learned counsel for the informant and perused
the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Kawakol P.S. Case No. 456 of 2024, instituted for the offences
punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2),
117(2), 117(3), 118(2), 109, 103(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya
Sanhita, 2023.

3. Earlier, anticipatory bail of the petitioner has been
rejected by this Court vide order dated 25.07.2025 passed in Cr.
Misc. No. 51720 of 2025 respectively.

4. The prosecution case, in short, is that all the FIR
named accused persons assaulted informant and his father by



means of iron rod, as a result of which father of the informant died and three persons were left injured.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the allegation levelled against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature. No specific allegation of assault has been attributed against the petitioner, rather specific allegation of assault is levelled against co-accused, namely, Prithvi and Satyendra. The petitioner is in custody since 31.07.2025 and has got no criminal antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that other co-accused has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 27.06.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 13597 of 2025.

6. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.



8. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Kawakol P.S. Case No. 456 of 2024

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

