

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.83515 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-88 Year-2025 Thana- Kathara District- Vaishali

-
1. Chanma Devi W/O Ramchandra Sahni Resident of Village - Imadpur, P.S.- Bhagwanpur, District - Vaishali.
 2. Jayamala Devi D/O Ramchandra Sahni Resident of Village - Imadpur, P.S.- Bhagwanpur, District - Vaishali.
 3. Rangeela Devi@ Rangeela Kumari D/O Ramchandra Sahni Resident of Village - Imadpur, P.S.- Bhagwanpur, District - Vaishali.
 4. Ramchandra Sahni S/O Late Kailash Sahni Resident of Village - Imadpur, P.S.- Bhagwanpur, District - Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sarita Devi W/O Bhim Sahni R/O - Gudmiya, P.S- kartaha, Dist.- Vaishali.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Suresh Prasad Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 20-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the State.

2. Petitioners apprehend arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 137(2), 96, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and Section 12 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act.

3. As per prosecution case, informant Sarita Devi alleged that on 7.7.2025 at about 7 PM, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including these petitioners, arrived at the house of informant and asked for a glass of water and when informant



went to fetch, the accused persons kidnapped her minor daughter and forcibly solemnized her marriage with co-accused Birbal Sahni. It is further alleged that the accused persons later on, left the daughter of informant at Gurminya Chowk.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioners have been made accused in this case merely because they are family members of co-accused Birbal Sahni. The victim, during investigation, was recovered and in her statement recorded under Section 183 BNSS, denied the factum of kidnapping and has categorically stated that no one kidnapped her. Petitioners claim clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the bail application.

6. Considering statement of the victim, recorded under Section 183 BNSS, this anticipatory bail is allowed and it is ordered that let the above named petitioners in the event of their arrest/surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned District &



Additional Sessions Judge VI-cum-Special Judge POCSO,
Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Kartaha P. S. Case No. 88
of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2)
of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Navya/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

