

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22185 of 2018

=====

Smt. Sumitra Devi Wife of Shri Shiv Shambhu Prasad Singh, Resident of Village-Purushottampur Painathi. Post Officer-Painathi, Police Station-Bihta, District-Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Collector, Patna.
3. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Danapur, District-Patna.
4. The Circle Officer, Bihta Distt.-Patna. null null
5. Binod Kumar GUpta Son of Late Shiv Prasad Sah No. 5 is Resident of Village-Painathi, Post Office Painathi, Police Station Bihta, District-Patna at Present resident of Harni Chak Colony, Jamuna Path, Post office-Anisabad, Police Station-Beur, District-Patna.
6. Bharat Commercial Corporation, a Partnership Firm, through its Managing Patner Manish Kumar.
7. Manish Kumar Son of Surendra Prasad Sinha
8. Rajan Kumar Son of Shubh Narayan Singh Nos. 6 to 8 are Resident of R Z, 22A, Gupta Market, Najafgarh, Delhi.
9. Savitri Devi Wife of Sri Binod Prasad No. 9 is Resident of Village-Purshottampur Painathi, Post Officer-Painathi, Police Station-Bihta, District-Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Anup Kumar Pandey, Advocate
		Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Dhurjati Kumar Prasad, GP-14

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 06-01-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief(s):

*“for direction to the respondent
authorities not to create a Jamabandi in the names
of the respondent nos. 6 to 8 in regard to the lands*



in question in the circumstances of the case.”

3. At the outset, learned State counsel submits that only on the ground of presumption, the writ petition has been filed. As and when the situation such arises, the petitioner will have the liberty to oppose the prayer for creation of Jamabandi before appropriate revenue authority.

4. The Court is in line with the submission put forward by the learned State counsel. Only on presumption, such writ petitions are filed which has to be deprecated.

5. Allowing the petitioner to agitate the matter as and when the situation such arise, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

