

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85336 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-210 Year-2014 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE District- Jamui

Krishna Yadav @ Krishandeo Yadav S/o Masudan Yadav R/o village -
Sohjana, P.S - Jhajha, District - Jamui, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Rekha Devi W/o Late Yogendra Prasad Yadav R/o village - Sohjana, P.O and
P.S - Jhajha, District - Jamui, Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sinha
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Pradeep Narain Kumar
For the O.P. No. 2	:	Mr. Pramod Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 06-04-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the

learned APP for the State, and the learned counsel for the O.P.

No. 2.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with

Complaint Case No. 210 of 2014 instituted for the offence under

Section(s) 498A, 323, 504, 406, 120B, 379 and 409 of the IPC

and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Pursuant to the order dated 07.01.2026 passed by

the co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the learned counsel for the

petitioner has produced a copy of the Panchnama filed in the

Court below to show that the one-third share of O.P. No. 2 has

been separated. It is, however, submitted that O.P. No. 2 is not



ready to accept the same and has refused to sign the document.

4. The learned counsel for the complainant submits that the share of the complainant is much more than what has been allotted to her; therefore, she is not accepting the partition.

5. The allegation against the petitioner is that he is the brother-in-law (devar) of the O.P. No. 2 and he has tortured the O.P. No. 2.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and in view of the *Panchama* produced by the learned counsel for the petitioner, I am inclined to confirm the provisional bail granted to the petitioner by order dated 07.01.2026. The petitioner shall remain on the same bail bonds.

7. However, if O.P. No. 2 is dissatisfied with the *Panchnama*, she may seek appropriate remedy by filing a Partition Suit in the District Court.

8. It is made clear that any observation made herein is *prima facie* in nature and limited solely for the purpose of adjudication of the present bail application. Such observations shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and shall not influence the trial or any other proceedings in any manner.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

