

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84136 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-254 Year-2025 Thana- Excise P.S. District- Samastipur

Sanjeet Ray @ Sanjeet Kumar @ Sanjeet Rai S/O Late Teni Rai R/o Village-  
Kasba Ahar (Kasbe Ahar) Ward No. 12, P.S.- Tajpur District- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shashank Shekhar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pradeep Narain Kumar

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      22-01-2026              1.    Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2.    The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case  
registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the  
Bihar Excise Act.

3.    Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the  
petitioner has antecedent of three cases under the Excise Act and  
allegation is of recovery of 48 litres of liquor from the house of  
the petitioner.

4.    Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the  
petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was  
recovered from his conscious possession and after 2018  
amendment in the Excise Act, the concept of deemed possession  
and presumed offender has been done away with. It is next



submitted that the house in question is a joint family property as such it cannot be alleged with certainty that it was petitioner who had kept the liquor in the house or the liquor kept in the house was within knowledge of the petitioner. It is further submitted that he came to be implicated based on secret information and at the instance of local people, but then the name of the person, who disclosed the name of the petitioner is not disclosed in the FIR, which casts an aspersion on the case of the prosecution. It is further submitted that once an accused is implicated in a case relating to excise, the police starts implicating mechanically either at the instance of Chowkidar, local person, confessional statement or secret information without holding a proper investigation.

**5.** Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

**6.** Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on Provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Sadar Excise P.S.



Case No. 254 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than three cases then it would be presumed that petitioner, for the purposes of seeking anticipatory bail, had concealed his antecedent before this Court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but after verification if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of three cases in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

Sumit/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

