

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82708 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-218 Year-2025 Thana- DARBHANGA SADAR District-  
Darbhanga

=====

Sonu Jha @ Subham Kumar Jha @ Shubham Kumar Jha Son of Lal Babu Jha  
Resident of Village- Chatti Pokhar, P.S.- L.N.M.U., District- Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                      |
|----------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr.Nilendu Kumar Choudhary, Advocate |
| For the State        | : | Mr.Kumar Ranjit Ranjan, APP          |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      05-05-2026                      Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner; learned  
counsel for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends arrest in connection with  
Sadar P.S. Case No. 218 of 2025 registered for offences under  
Sections 109 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and  
Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Allegedly, on the fateful day, while the informant,  
who is said to be an employee at the bus stand, was sitting in a  
tea shop, 5–6 persons arrived at 2–3 motorcycles and started  
firing indiscriminately. However, the informant somehow  
managed to save himself. The informant also recognized two of  
the assailants, who were identified as Vishal Yadav and Vikky  
Yadav.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is quite innocent and has not committed any offence. He further submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR; however, during the course of the investigation, the name of the petitioner has come. It is also an admitted fact that no one sustained any injury in the incident.

5. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this application for anticipatory bail stands allowed.

7. Accordingly, let the petitioner, above-named, in the event of arrest or surrender within four weeks from today in the Court below, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Darbhanga/ concerned Court below in connection with Sadar P.S. Case No. 218 of 2025 subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482 of the BNSS/ 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

8. It is, however, clarified that the observations made herein are limited to the adjudication of the present bail application and shall not prejudice the case of the petitioner at



any subsequent stage of the trial and this Court has not express  
any opinion on the merits of the case.

**(Sandeep Kumar, J)**

P. Kumar

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

