

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.83551 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-92 Year-2025 Thana- LAUKAHA District- Madhubani

=====

Veena Devi W/o Anil Kumar Ram @ Anil Ram R/o Village - Sangi, P.S -
Phulparas, District - Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Bharti

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyam Bihari Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 21-01-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 274, 275,
3(5) of BNS, 2023 and Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and
Excise (Amendment) Act, 2022.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is a woman and
allegation is of recovery of 261 litres of liquor from a bush
along with two motorcycles. It is next submitted that petitioner
was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered
from her conscious possession and she came to be implicated
based on the fact that she is owner of one of the seized vehicle.
It is next submitted that no prudent person would use her own



vehicle for committing an occurrence and thus would create evidence against herself and hence would get implicated. It is also submitted that it is not the case of the prosecution that they saw any women fleeing from the place of occurrence.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.500/- (Rupees five hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Laukaha P.S. Case No.92/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

6. It is made clear that the learned trial court thereafter shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, in that event, it would be presumed that petitioner had concealed her antecedent before this court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if



on verification it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

