

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82348 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-492 Year-2024 Thana- KONCH District- Gaya

Om Prakash @ Prakash @ Om Prakash Kumar @ Prakash Kumar Son of
Ashok Raut @ Bhagyanath Raut @ Ashok Resident Of Village- Konch, P.S.-
Konch, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ramakant Singh, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Rabindra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 25-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. This is the second attempt on behalf of the
petitioner for grant of regular bail in connection with Konch P.S.
Case No.492 of 2024 registered for the offence under sections
191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 109, 125, 352 and 103 of the
B.N.S., 2023.

3. Earlier, the bail of the petitioner was rejected
by this Court vide order dated 23.07.2025 passed in Criminal
Miscellaneous No.19088 of 2025. The aforesaid order dated
23.07.2025 reads as under:-

*“Heard learned counsel for the
petitioners; learned counsel for the informant
and learned APP for the State in both the
cases.*

*2. The petitioners seek bail in
connection with Konch P.S. Case No. 492 of
2024 registered for the offence punishable
under Sections 191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2),
109, 125 and 352 of the Bharatiya Nyaya
Sanhita.*

3. As per the FIR, after a dispute the



deceased was intercepted and assaulted by 10-15 persons. The petitioner Om Prakash is named in the FIR. Apart from Om Prakash, there are other two co-accused are also named in the FIR. The name of the petitioner namely Nitish Kumar has come during investigation as per the evidence of the eye witnesses. The postmortem report supports the prosecution case. A number of injuries have been found on the deceased. The petitioners are in custody since 21.11.2024.

4. Considering the above, these applications are dismissed.

5. The Court below is directed to expedite the trial.

6. Learned counsel for the informant undertakes to produce the witnesses in the trial.

7. If the trial is delayed by the prosecution, the petitioners may renew their prayer for bail.”

4. Considering the fact that the trial has started,

I am not inclined to review my earlier order dated 23.07.2025.

Further, the learned counsel for the petitioner is not able to show any fresh ground for grant of bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this bail application is dismissed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

pawan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

