

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89632 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-168 Year-2010 Thana- KHAJANCHI HAT District- Purnia

Prabha Kumari @ Devki Devi wife of Ram Pravesh Prasad Village-
Kachimaya (Kachhiyama) PS -Nagarnausa Dist -Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rajeev Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Md. Fahimuddin, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 27-01-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, informant, namely Dr. Ramcharitra Mandal, who happens to be Civil Surgeon, alleged that 14 persons, including this petitioner, obtained appointment on the post of “A.N.M. Nurse” on the basis of forged and fabricated documents and thereafter, their appointments were cancelled.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner was appointed as A.N.M. Nurse after



verification of all her certificates by the concerned department, but later on, same have been declared as forged and fabricated without proper verification or giving any notice to the petitioner. Moreover, the petitioner has already been removed from the services. However, it is submitted that at this stage, without admitting her guilt, petitioner is ready to deposit the whole remuneration of three months which was paid to her. Petitioner is a lady and claims clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation, fact that appointment of petitioner has already been cancelled, aforesaid undertaking of the petitioner and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Purnea in connection with K. Hat P.S. Case No. 168 of 2010, subject to condition as



laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., along with the following terms and conditions:

- “A. At the time of furnishing bail-bond, remuneration paid to the petitioner for a period of three months shall be deposited through cash in the Nazarat of the Civil Court, Purnea.
- B. The aforesaid payment shall be subject to the final outcome of the case.
- C. If petitioner fails to comply the aforesaid direction of this Court, the learned Trial Court is free to cancel the bail-bond of the petitioner.”

8. It is made clear that without going into the merit of the case, the aforesaid order has been passed only for the purpose of grant of bail.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

