

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82842 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-284 Year-2024 Thana- BHAPTIAHI District- Supaul

Nitish Kumar Son of Vilekshan Mandal @ Bilekshan Mandal Resident of
Village - Jhajha, Ward No. - 7, P.S. - Bhaptiyahi, District - Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Bharti

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nagendra Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 18-02-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

2. This is the 2nd attempt of the petitioner. The
petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Bhaptiyahi P.S.
Case No. 284 of 2024 registered for the offence under Sections
309(4) of the BNS.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner was
rejected on 01.05.2025 in Cr. Misc. No. 25069 of 2025 which
reads as follows:-

*Heard learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned APP for the State Shri
Jharkhandi Upadhyay.*

*2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in
connection with Bhaptiyahi P.S. Case No. 284 of
2024 registered for the offence under Sections
309(4) of the BNS.*

3. As per the prosecution case, four



unknown criminals are said to have looted Rs. 79,200/- from a CSP center in broad day light threatening the informant and others with weapon.

4. The informant of this case has said that the criminals have come on two motorcycles and one of the motorcycle was of Hero Motocorp Company made.

5. The name of the petitioner has come in the self inculpatory statement of one co-accused namely Ram Kumar and the motorcycle, which was Hero Motocorp Company made has been recovered from the back side of the petitioner's house.

6. The petitioner is accused in four more cases of similar and serious nature and he is in custody since 27.01.2025.

7. Considering the fact that the petitioner is involved in broad day light loot of CSP Center, the antecedent of the petitioner and also considering the fact that the motorcycle used in the loot (as mentioned above) has been recovered from the petitioner's house, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, this application is dismissed.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has produced a photocopy of an information application dated 17.02.2026, from which it appears that the petitioner has surrendered. The same is taken on record.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the charges have been framed in the case and the trial has started.

6. Considering the aforesaid, this Court finds no



ground to review its earlier order. Accordingly, this application
for regular bail stands rejected.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

