

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.86957 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-329 Year-2023 Thana- MAJORGANJ District- Sitamarhi

=====

Arun Kumar Singh @ Amit Kumar @ Arun Singh Son of Late Lalbabu Singh
@ Gali Singh Resident of Village- Dumrikala, P.S.- Majorganj, District-
Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Subodh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Mohammad Sufyan, A.P.P.

Mr. Md. Danish Quamar, Advocate

Ms.Madina Moneer, Advocate

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 09-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Majorganj P.S. Case No. 329 of 2023, instituted for the offences
under Sections 302, 120(B) and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and
Section 27 of Arms Act.

3. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for bail.
The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail
which was earlier rejected on merit by this Court vide order
dated 24.01.2025 passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 74578
of 2024, taking into account the criminal antecedent and nature
of accusation and the gravity of the offence.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 22.12.2023



without any rhymes or reason.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for grant of bail. Learned A.P.P. has further relied upon a decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court reported in **2024 SCC Online SC 3539 (X. vs. State of Rajasthan & Anr.)**, wherein in paragraph No.14, Hon'ble Apex Court has observed as under:

“14. Ordinarily in serious offences like rape, murder, dacoity etc., once the trial commences and the prosecution starts examining its witnesses, the Court be it the Trial Court or the High Court should be loath in entertaining the bail application of the accused.”

6. There is no new ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner. From the aforesaid report, it appears that the trial is going on and is at an advance stage.

7. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again **rejected** with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

manish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

