

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82403 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-26 Year-2025 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Sheikhpura

Ranjan Kumar S/o- Shri Sugriv Chauhan R/v- Farper Ps- Ariyari Dist-
Sheikhpura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. X W/o- Chottan Chauhan R/v- Farper Ps- Ariyari Dist- Sheikhpura

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mrs.Vaishnavi Singh, Advocate
	:	Mr. Ritwik Thakur, Advocate
	:	Mr. Mudit Meet, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr.Uday Chand Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 27-01-2026 Heard Mrs.Vaishnavi Singh, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr.Uday Chand Prasad, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
26.08.2025 in connection with POCSO Case No. 71 of 2025
arising out of Mahila P.S.Case No.26 of 2025, F.I.R. dated
25.08.2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections
75,76,79,352 and 351(2) of BNS,2023 and Section 8 of the
POCSO Act.

3. As per FIR, there is specific allegation against the
petitioner for outraging modesty of victim girl.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner



submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent. The allegation as alleged in the FIR is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. Although the victim has supported her case in her statement under Section 183 of BNSS, 2023 but she has refused for her medical examination in front of her mother which suggests that the allegation as alleged in the FIR is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. The police, after investigation, submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 26.08.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the victim has supported the case of the prosecution but fairly submits that she refused for her medical examination.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, petitioner has clean antecedent and the police has already submitted the chargesheet, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned District and Additional Sessions Judge-cum-Exclusive Special Judge (POCSO), Sheikhpura in connection with POCSO Case No. 71 of 2025 arising out of Mahila P.S.Case No.26 of 2025, with the



following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

